







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/532,462	03/22/2000	Oak Abhijit	30566.94US01	7780
22462	7590 03/08/2004		EXAMINER	
	COOPER LLP	BLACKWELL, JAMES H		
	HUGHES CENTER ER DRIVE WEST,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LES, CA 90045	2176		
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	4 7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Committed   Commi				<u> </u>				
Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
James H Blackwell   2176    James H Blackwell   2176    James H Blackwell   2176    A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the paried for reply specified does is less than this; (39) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of this profit of the reply specified shows is less than this; (39) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of this profit of the reply specified does is less than this; (39) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of this profit of the reply is specified above. The mannima statutory profit of all gas and well segars \$2.5 (8) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.  I the paried for reply specified shows is less than this; (39) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of this malling date of this communication.  I the paried for reply specified above is less than this; (39) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of the malling date of this communication.  A preparation that the statutory reply reply and will segar the days and will be considered timely.  I the paried for reply specified and the statutory profit will age with will be considered timely.  I the paried for reply specified and the statutory profit will age with will be considered timely.  I the paried that specified the statutory profit will age with will be considered to this communication.  Status  I) Since this application is no condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparke Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  A plication of the above claim(s) is a state with drawn from consideration.  5			09/532,462	ABHIJIT, OAK				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  ### The period for reply specified shows to be set and high (30) days, a reply within the statution of this (p. 00) days will be considered timely.  ### The period for reply specified shows, the maximum statutory period will apply and well agrics 300, MONTH5 from the maling date of this communication.  ### The period for reply specified shows, the maximum statutory period will apply and well agrics 300, MONTH5 from the maling date of this communication.  #### Responsive to communication(s) filled on ### December 2003.  ### Responsive to communication(s) filled on ### December 2003.  ### Responsive to communication(s) filled on ### December 2003.  ### Responsive to communication(s) filled on ### December 2003.  ### Responsive to communication(s) filled on ### December 2003.  ### Responsive to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  ### Disposition of Claims  ### Claim(s) ### In the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  ### Claim(s) ### In the practice of th	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - and rEX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for reby specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply apply apply and will apply				1				
THE MAILINE DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 50X (6) MOSTITS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is provided to reply in specified above, the maximum statution yelekid with the statutory minimum of this (30) days will be considered timely.  It is provided to reply in specified above, the maximum statution yelekid will be statutory minimum of this (4) MOSTITS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or ordered period for reply will. By statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.s.C. § 133).  Any reply review by the Office debute than these membra after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any exemple patent term sejacitizent. See 97 CFR 1.794(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2003.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  12)  All b) Some  Old None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the pri			pears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2003.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e) 11) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) and international December Statement(e) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB(08)	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6) for cause the application to become	v a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat B ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s)	Status							
3	1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 D	ecember 2003.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
4)	3)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Instance in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposit	ion of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  1) ☐ Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicat	ion Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  11) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  22) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  33) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)		·	***	, ,			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	nt(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is responsive to the Amendment filed 12/18/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison ("Sams Teach Yourself MFC in 24 Hours", Copyright 1999).

In regard to Independent Claim 1 (and similarly independent Claims 11 and 21), Morrison teaches drawing bitmaps using the Microsoft Foundation Classes (MFC) class CBitmap. This class provides a member function LoadBitmap () that is used to load a bitmap after a CBitmap object is created. To be loaded, the bitmap file (object data in this case) is inherently stored separate from the CBitmap object (instance). Compare with Claim 1 (and similarly with Claims 11 and 21), "... storing the object data for the object separate from a file containing an instance of the object". Morrison also teaches that one loads a bitmap by providing a bitmap resource identifier (i.e. pointer to a file name) to the LoadBitmap () function (p. 162). Compare with Claim 1 (and similarly with Claims 11 and 21), "obtaining a request to load the file". The LoadBitmap () function returns a nonzero value if it is successful and zero otherwise.

Art Unit: 2176

Ö

Compare with Claim 1 (and similarly with Claims 11 and 21), "... determining if the object data is available". Morrison also teaches that after having loaded the bitmap data into the CBitmap object, one can paint the bitmap with the assistance of the member function of the CDC class called BitBlt () (see steps involved on p. 162 to do this). Compare with Claim 1 (and similarly with Claims 11 and 21), "... obtaining the object data and utilizing the object data to display a graphical representation of the object".

In regard to dependent Claim 2 (and similarly dependent Claims 12 and 22), Morrison fails to teach *displaying an empty graphical representation if the object data is not available*. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have displayed some indication of a missing file providing the benefit of allowing a sequential loading of multiple objects in a graphics application to proceed without error.

In regard to dependent Claim 3 (and similarly to dependent Claims 13, and 23), Morrison fails to teach *storing a universally unique identifier (UUID) with the file to match the object and the object data*. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have provided some mechanism through which files might have been uniquely identified, even if it were nothing more than different file names. The benefit would have been to keep track of separate objects within a graphics application.

In regard to dependent Claim 4 (and similarly to dependent Claims 14, and 24), Morrison fails to teach *storing a reference to the object data in the file*. However, it

**Art Unit: 2176** 

...

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have stored a reference of some sort to data in the file because it would have been convenient to do so thereby eliminating the need to have to have passed that information to the object every time it was requested.

In regard to dependent Claim 5 (and similarly to dependent Claims 15, and 25), Morrison fails to teach that *the reference is a uniform resource locator (URL)*. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have used a URL as a reference because it was one way, especially having been in a web environment to have directed software to data on a server.

In regard to dependent Claim 6 (and similarly to dependent Claims 16, and 26), Morrison fails to teach that the object data is stored on a secure server. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to store data securely providing the benefit of preventing theft of proprietary materials. Morrison also fails to teach that the determining if the object data is available further comprises determining if the request to load the file provides proper access permissions for the object data. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have secured the data by using a mechanism such as a username and password providing the benefit of only allowing authorized persons access to data.

In regard to dependent Claim 7 (and similarly to dependent Claims 17, and 27)

Morrison fails to teach that the request to load the file originates from outside of a network where the data object is stored. However, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2176

Ç

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have requested a file through a mechanism such as a phone line and modem. The benefit of such an arrangement would have been to allow someone wishing to access files but not having had a direct network connection to obtain said files. Morrison also fails to teach that the object data is not available because a network security mechanism determines that the request does not provide the proper access permissions. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have denied access to anyone who would have tried to access a site containing proprietary data without having had the proper authorization providing the benefit of only having allowed authorized persons access to data.

In regard to dependent Claim 8 (and similarly to dependent Claims 18, and 28), Morrison fails to teach that the separate location is on a supplier's network and a supplier maintains and updates the object data. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have stored files at a commercial site whereby the provider could maintain and update such things as inventory, prices, etc. The benefit would have been that a customer would have always had access to the latest information on a given product.

In regard to dependent Claim 9 (and similarly to dependent Claims 19, and 29),

Morrison fails to teach that *utilizing the object data occurs in real time across a network*.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have offered real-time use of data over a network because it would have

Art Unit: 2176

avoided maintaining files (especially large ones) at the user's site providing the benefit of increased storage space on the user's machine.

In regard to dependent Claim 10 (and similarly dependent Claims 20, and 30), Morrison, teaches that the file is a bitmap (p. 162). Morrison does not specifically teach that the file is a drawing. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have assumed that the bitmap was of a drawing providing the benefit of having displayed a rendering of a drawing in the form of a bitmap image. Morrison also teaches that a CBitmap object contains a bitmap (p. 162). Morrison does not specifically teach that the object is a drawing component. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have assumed that the CBitmap object would have been part of a larger image providing the benefit of creating and maintaining multiple drawing objects. Morrison also teaches that a bitmap is a rectangular grouping of pixels (p. 161, Figs. 9.6, 9.7) that can be loaded into a CBitmap object. Morrison does not specifically teach that the object data provides the graphical representation of the drawing component. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have assumed that once having been loaded into an object, that the bitmap would have been used as a graphical way of representing a part of a drawing.

In regard to dependent Claim 31 (and similarly to dependent Claims 33, and 35), Morrison fails to teach that the object data comprises content for a document. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention for the object data to represent something that would have been presented to a user on a

Art Unit: 2176

15

graphical device providing the benefit of having been able to view a document on a graphical device.

In regard to dependent Claim 32 (and similarly to dependent Claims 34, and 36), Morrison fails to teach that the object data comprises formatting information for displaying the object. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have placed formatting information in the object data because it would have eliminated the need to having provided it at some other point preventing the loss or error in the input of such information.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James H Blackwell whose telephone number is 703-305-0940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on 703-305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/532,462

Art Unit: 2176

James H. Blackwell 03/05/04

SUPERVISORY PATERIES